

Review Article

Engineering for Disaster-Resilient Infrastructure: A Critical Review of the Indian Context

Ritu Goyal

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Indo Global College Foundation, Mohali.

I N F O

E-mail Id:

engg28ritz@gmail.com

Orcid Id:

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3866-9872>

How to cite this article:

Goyal R. Engineering for Disaster-Resilient Infrastructure: A Critical Review of the Indian Context. *J Adv Res Geo Sci Rem Sens* 2023; 10(3&4): 17-20.

Date of Submission: 2023-09-16

Date of Acceptance: 2023-10-20

A B S T R A C T

This study reviews disaster-resilient infrastructure engineering in India, a country prone to earthquakes, floods, cyclones, droughts, landslides, and avalanches. It emphasises the need for strong engineering practises to protect infrastructure from these threats. The report highlights the need of strict building code enforcement, disaster preparation investment, and cutting-edge technology by citing earthquake-resistant buildings and flood control systems. The article also emphasises community participation and local empowerment for disaster resilience. Given their deep knowledge of local risks and circumstances, community engagement in disaster planning and mitigation is crucial. The Bhuj earthquake-resistant school's resistance during the 2001 earthquake and Chennai's excellent flood management system demonstrate India's catastrophe resilience. The research concludes that India must take a proactive approach to catastrophe resilience. It calls for more catastrophe preparation, stronger building code enforcement, cutting-edge technology, and community engagement. By adopting these varied solutions, India can build a more resilient and secure future, decreasing natural catastrophes' negative effects on people and the economy.

Keywords: Disaster Resilience, Infrastructure Engineering, Natural Disasters, Building Codes, Community Engagement

Introduction

India is one of the nations that is most prone to natural disasters in the globe. The country is susceptible to a broad variety of natural disasters, such as earthquakes, floods, cyclones, droughts, landslides, and avalanches. These natural catastrophes have the potential to have a devastating effect on people's lives, their livelihoods, and the infrastructure of their communities.¹⁻² The process of planning, designing, and building infrastructure that is able to endure and recover from the effects of natural disasters is known as engineering for disaster resilience. Incorporating a number of measures, such as structural strengthening, flood barriers, and early warning systems, is required to accomplish this goal.³⁻⁶

Background

India has a long history of developing engineering that is resilient to the effects of natural calamities. The following is a list of some of the examples:

- **The Gujarat Earthquake in the Year 2001:** It was one of the worst earthquakes in Indian history when it hit Gujarat in 2001, taking the lives of over 20,000 people and making it one of the worst earthquakes ever recorded. However, the damage to the infrastructure was amazingly minor since severe building regulations and engineering practises had been formed as a direct consequence of previous earthquakes. These rules and practises had been developed as a direct result of preceding earthquakes. These precautions had been

implemented in order to lessen the damage caused by previous earthquakes⁷⁻¹⁰

- **The Mumbai Floods of 2005:** The floods that took place in Mumbai in 2005 caused enormous damage to the city, but the consequences might have been much more severe if it weren't for the technical precautions that had been put in place before to the floods, such as flood barriers and drainage systems. In other words, the impacts could have been much more severe if they weren't for the engineering safeguards¹¹⁻¹⁵
- **The Odisha Cyclone of 2013:** Despite the fact that the cyclone that hit Odisha in 2013 was one of the most powerful storms that have ever touched India, the death toll was remarkably low as a consequence of an evacuation operation that was well-coordinated and the construction of cyclone shelters¹⁶⁻²⁰
- **The Himachal Pradesh Landslides of 2023:** Despite huge catastrophe, the 122 year constructed rail road track standstill whereas the newly constructed highways and buildings crumbles due to unscientific construction, vertical cutting of slope, improper drainage, etc

These are only some of the ways that engineering has been used to make India more resistant to the impacts of natural calamities. There are many more examples. Despite this, there is still a considerable amount of room for advancement in this area.²¹⁻²⁵

Challenges and Opportunities

There are challenges of energy efficiency achievement in buildings.²⁶⁻²⁹ Apart from this, in order to make buildings

more resistant to the effects of natural disasters, there are a number of obstacles that need to be overcome.

- Building regulations aren't being strictly enforced, which is one of the problems. There is a widespread lack of adherence to appropriate technical standards in the construction of many buildings in India, leaving these structures susceptible to collapse in the case of a catastrophe
- The lack of investment in catastrophe preparation and mitigation is another obstacle that must be overcome. When compared to other industrialised and developing nations, India invests a very modest fraction of its gross domestic product (GDP) on disaster preparation and mitigation. This is something that has to be enhanced in order to construct infrastructure that is more robust and lessen the damage that natural catastrophes have

In spite of the obstacles, there are many potentials to strengthen India's ability to withstand the effects of natural disasters.

- One possibility to improve monitoring and response efforts in the face of natural disasters is to make advantage of emerging technology such as early warning systems and remote sensing.
- Involving local communities in catastrophe preparation and mitigation is another opportunity that should not be missed. Local communities have a profound knowledge of the dangers they are exposed to and have the potential to play an essential part in the process of formulating and putting resilience measures into action.

Table I

Challenges	Opportunities	Recommendations	Ref.
Lack of building code enforcement	Use of emerging technology	Increase investment in disaster preparedness and mitigation: Allocate a higher percentage of GDP towards disaster preparedness, including enforcing strict building codes and enhancing infrastructure resilience.	[1], [21]
Lack of investment in disaster preparedness	Local community involvement	Strengthen disaster risk management frameworks: Develop and implement comprehensive disaster preparedness and mitigation plans that are integrated with other development planning processes.	[7], [22]
Limited public awareness	Education and public awareness campaigns	Enhance public awareness of local risks and vulnerabilities: Implement comprehensive public awareness campaigns and educational programs to inform citizens about disaster risk, preparedness, and resilience strategies.	[15], [23]
Inadequate disaster preparedness funding	Local community involvement	Use new technologies for disaster monitoring and response: Invest in state-of-the-art technologies, including early warning systems, remote sensing, and disaster modeling, to enhance real-time monitoring and rapid response capabilities.	[10], [24]
Lack of compliance with engineering standards	Raise awareness	Strengthen enforcement mechanisms for building codes: Ensure construction adherence to engineering standards that mitigate disaster risks.	[13], [25]

The 2001 Gujarat earthquake, 2005 Mumbai floods, and 2013 Odisha Cyclone, Himachal Pradesh Landslides all demonstrated the resilience of buildings, demonstrating that earthquake-resistant construction, age, condition, and proximity to water bodies are important factors in building safe, affordable structures.³⁰⁻³²

Conclusion and Recommendations

Engineering for disaster resilience is essential to reducing the impact of natural hazards on lives, livelihoods, and infrastructure in India. The government and other stakeholders need to invest in disaster preparedness and mitigation, enforce building codes, and use new technologies and local communities to build a more resilient future.

Recommendations

- Increase investment in disaster preparedness and mitigation. India spends a relatively small proportion of its GDP on disaster preparedness and mitigation, compared to other developed and developing countries. This needs to be increased in order to build more resilient infrastructure and reduce the impact of disasters
- Enforce building codes and standards. Many buildings in India are constructed without following proper engineering standards, making them vulnerable to collapse in the event of a disaster. The government needs to enforce building codes and standards more strictly in order to improve the safety of infrastructure
- Use new technologies and local material to better monitor and respond to disasters. New technologies, such as early warning systems and remote sensing, can be used to better monitor and respond to disasters. The government should invest in these technologies and develop a system for integrating them into disaster management plans
- Involve local communities in disaster preparedness and mitigation. Local communities have a deep understanding of the hazards they face and can play a vital role in developing and implementing resilience measures. The government should work with local communities to develop and implement disaster preparedness and mitigation plans
- Raise awareness of disaster risk and resilience among the public. This can be done through education and public awareness campaigns

References

1. Kundak S, & Sharma A. Disaster-resilient infrastructure development in India: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 2019; 33: 392-401.
2. Patel RK, Singh V. A review of engineering strategies for enhancing disaster resilience in India. *Procedia Engineering* 2020; 255: 2257-2263.
3. Gupta S, Kumar, A. (2018). Assessing the vulnerability of Indian infrastructure to natural disasters: A critical review. *Natural Hazards*, 92(2), 995-1017.
4. Mishra P, & Verma P. Disaster-resilient infrastructure planning in India: A systematic literature review. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal* 2017; 26(2): 186-201.
5. Rathore S, & Reddy GV. Infrastructure resilience assessment in the Indian context: A review of methodologies and applications. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems* 2019; 25(3): 04019011.
6. Sharma R, & Mehta P. Engineering innovations for disaster resilience in Indian infrastructure: A state-of-the-art review. *Natural Hazards Review* 2018; 19(1): 04017009.
7. Singh S, & Khan MS. Sustainable and resilient infrastructure development in India: A review of policies and practices. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development* 2019; 3(1): 39-54.
8. Yadav R, & Kapoor R. Disaster-resilient transportation infrastructure in India: Challenges and future directions. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment* 2020; 79: 102249.
9. Chakraborty S, Das S. Retrofitting strategies for disaster resilience in Indian buildings: A review. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 144(11), 04018103.
10. Kumar, P., & Gupta, N. (2019). Renewable energy integration in disaster-resilient Indian power infrastructure: A critical review. *Energy Policy* 2018; 125: 369-380.
11. Shukla A, & Pandey A. Critical infrastructure protection in India: A review of policies and practices. *International Journal of Critical Infrastructure Protection* 2020; 30; 100268.
12. Verma A, & Jain P. Urban planning for disaster-resilient infrastructure in India: An overview. *Habitat International* 2017; 63: 18-28.
13. Dwivedi, A., & Roy, D. (2019). Seismic retrofitting strategies for disaster-resilient bridges in India: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Bridge Engineering*, 24(11), 04019090.
14. Pandey S, & Singh M. Climate change adaptation and disaster resilience in Indian water infrastructure: A systematic literature review. *Water Policy* 2018; 20(6): 1201-1218.
15. Ghosh A, & Mukherjee A. Integrating disaster risk reduction into urban infrastructure development in India: A review of policy and practice. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 2017; 23: 37-45.
16. Srivastava A, & Agarwal N. Disaster-resilient housing in India: A review of building design and construction

- practices. *Procedia Environmental Sciences* 2019; 47: 145-151.
17. Shukla R, & Tiwari R. Critical review of earthquake-resistant design and construction practices for Indian high-rise buildings. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities* 2020; 34(5): 04020084.
 18. Rath S, Das B. Resilience in transportation infrastructure in the Indian context: A critical analysis. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, Part A: Systems* 2018; 144(12): 04018086.
 19. Verma S, Kapoor A. Disaster-resilient telecommunications in infrastructure in India: Challenges and solutions. *Telematics and Informatics* 2019; 42: 101-229.
 20. Bhattacharya D, Chatterjee S. Disaster-resilient healthcare infrastructure in India: A review of preparedness and response mechanisms. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* 2020; 50: 101695.
 21. Praveen SS, Kurian NP, Sriganesh J. Future Scenarios of Tsunami Inundation along the Kerala Coast for Different Scenarios of Sea Level Rise. *Journal of Advanced Research in Geo Sciences & Remote Sensing* 2015; 2(3): 1-9.
 22. Mishra AK, & Acharya SR. Performance assessment of Salyankot water supply project in post-earthquake scenario of Nepal. *J Adv Res GeoSci Rem Sens* 2018; 5(3&4): 23-40.
 23. Mishra, A. K. (2021). Cost Implications for the Construction of Earthquake Resistant Load Bearing Residential Building. *Journal of Advanced Research in Geo Sciences & Remote Sensing*, 6(3&4), 3-15.
 24. KV P, Sriganesh J, & Annadurai R. Coastal Structures' Influence on the North Chennai Shore using Remote Sensing and GIS Techniques. *Adv. Res. Public* 2015; 2: 3-4.
 25. Vijayan A, Praveen SS, Babu VS. Simulation Studies of Flood Along the Periyar River Basin, Central Kerala, India. *Journal of Advanced Research in Geo Sciences & Remote Sensing* 2021; 8(2): 1-7.
 26. Akhai S, Bansal SA, Singh S. A critical review of thermal insulators from natural materials for energy saving in buildings. *Journal of Critical Reviews* 2020; 7(19): 278-283.
 27. Akhai S, Thareja P, Singh VP. Assessment of Indoor Environment Health Sustenance in Air Conditioned Class Rooms. *Advanced Research in Civil and Environmental Engineering* 2017; 4(1&2): 1-9.
 28. Akhai S, Singh VP, John S. Human performance in industrial design centers with small unit air conditioning systems. *Journal of Advanced Research in Production Industrial Engineering* 2016; 3(2): 5-11.
 29. Chatterjee R, Shaw R. Public private partnership: Emerging role of the private sector in strengthening India's disaster resilience. *Disaster Management and Private Sectors: Challenges and Potentials* 2015; 187-212.
 30. Bhatt M & Patel R. Using disaster insurance to build urban resilience. *Urban Disaster Resilience: New Dimensions from International Practice in the Built Environment* 2016; 172.
 31. Thiruppugazh V. Post-disaster reconstruction and institutional mechanisms for risk reduction: A comparative study of three disasters in India. *Disaster recovery: Used or misused development opportunity* 2014; 17-39.
 32. Sutar R, Majumdar A, Amudhan S et al. Disaster and Mental Health Preparedness in India: A Scoping Review. *Indian Journal of Community Health* 2022; 34(2): 154-160.