J. of Adv. Res.in Technological Entrepreneurship and Start-Up Incubation Ecosystems
Volume 1, Issue 2 - 2025, Pg. No. 31-35
Peer Reviewed Journal

Review Article

Technology Mission: Changes, Important Events, and Chances

V Basil Hans[1], Sajimon P P[2]

[1]Research Professor, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India
[2]Associate Dean, School of Humanities & Social Sciences, St Aloysius, University, Mangalore, India

I N F O

Corresponding Author :
Sajimon P P, School of Humanities & Social Sciences, St Aloysius, University, Mangalore, India
E-mail Id:
saji@staloysius.edu.in
Orcid Id:
https://orcid.org/0000-0002- 8794-1705
How to cite this article:
Hans V B, Sajimon P P. Technology Mission: Changes, Important Events, and Chance. *J Adv Res Tech Entre Start-Up Incub Ecosys 2025; 1(2): 31-35.*

Date of Submission: 2025-11-25
Date of Acceptance: 2025-12-26

A B S T R A C T

The rapid expansion of technology has changed the way national and global development missions work. It has opened up new opportunities for innovation and changed the way things used to be done. This article looks at how technological missions have changed over time by looking at three related areas: transitions, milestones, and new possibilities. First, it looks at important changes that are happening because of digitalisation, automation, and technologies that are coming together. These changes are changing social and economic institutions and policy frameworks. Second, it points out important milestones that define the present innovation environment, such as basic infrastructure projects and recent advances in artificial intelligence, clean energy, biotechnology, and linked platforms. Lastly, the essay talks about the strategic chances that these changes give to governments, businesses, and research ecosystems. It stresses the need for collaborative governance, flexible investment models, and creating capacity. By putting these parts together, the paper gives a forward-looking view of how technology missions might be set up to speed up inclusive growth, make society more resilient, and provide long-term benefit for society.

Keywords: Strategic Technology Missions, Digital Transformation, Innovation Milestones, Emerging Opportunities, and Technology Transition

Prologue: The Start of a Digital Journey

A wide horizon and the nothingness of a continent that no one knows about. The sailor's heart beats faster. Once more, he is afraid of the end of the path, of missing out on the chance to learn and escape the dead end of boredom. There is no gift more basic or human than the desire to know, to learn the unknown, from hero to hero, from hero to science, from science to science, from individual to society, from society to individual, from idea to strength, and from strength through technology back to idea. No journey is more exciting, dangerous, or possibly beneficial than this one, which could start a deeply understated revolution in the individual/society system while also protecting the deeper essence of life under the powerful acceleration of today's almost unimaginable but very real electronic and ultimately biological/chemical technologies. An easy but deep investment in not investing. But the conditions for exploration and learning in life are woefully incomplete. There is already tension between the freedom to explore and learn, which is slowly fading, and the need to protect that freedom in the name of "loneliness", "safety", and "security". Control is already threatening to stifle curiosity, but curiosity is still the main force that drives humans towards the future. Control must yield.

But uncontrolled exploration is almost as dangerous. This kind of journey could lead to a future like that of "The Hunger Games", where the Higher Forces of Nature and the Lower Forces of Humanity work together. Science too has a long way to go, but both System and Consciousness have responded more to the very considerable Deputy (the Machine) than to the still very Seeking Officer (the Human Brain). Only controlling human systems can put the Human Guardian at risk of losing control. A broadly uncontrolled scientific voyage, on the other hand, could lead to great physical deprivation for humanity, while the socially higher forces of science stay willing zombies to the 2 Greater Forces of Nature. 2. It has been well recorded throughout history how hard it is to balance and provide freedom of the most highly flammable entry-level research and learning by higher forces while simultaneously protecting against harmful intrusion by lower forces. Without both the necessary structural support to expand freedom to explore and learn and the right amount of oversight to effectively prevent harmful excess, exploration of the larger whole system of grasping orientation remains ungoverned, as it is afraid to use both controlling freedom and exploring clarity.

At this point in time, humanity has an almost unbelievable chance to be very creative and inventive. They can dig deeper into the oldest, greatest, and most difficult problem: figuring out how to make the Cybernetic Model-Set and the Environment-doubling Climate Control Asset-set work together to ensure that the relationship between the individual and society is not too comfortable.

The Architecture of Change

When people talk about technology, they usually talk about hardware and the organisation's tangible assets. Devices, machines, interfaces, computers, modems, robots, automobiles, and phones all draw attention and show who you are. In societies that rely on sedimentary technologies, like mechanical artefacts, this focus makes sense: the gadget is the technology. The tectonics have changed with the emergence of digital, disposable devices that only carry data. Systems make up the technology, but as companies move faster towards going digital, the growing movement is still not well understood. A tangible, yet elusive, inquiry endures: How does one convert to a digital organisation? Human-centred design looks like a good way to go. Moving towards platforms, gadgets, and digital content is still important, but when the focus shifts to data, which is the centre of systemic change, real roles for government and the private sector start to change.

The architecture of Transition, or Three Modes of Transition, shows three structural transition layers: Infrastructure, Platforms, and Human Wisdom. The six basic parts of infrastructure are bandwidth footprint, Computing-as-a-Service (CaaS), interoperability, standardisation, enablement, and public good. Platforms include social, content, and plus. When a brand, customer base, and ecosystem grow, the commercial acquisition shifts from Base to Plus. Data, mathematical models, and data ethics make up the way to the third level, Human Wisdom. This level controls the substance, philosophy, and direction of analytics and helps people make decisions about how much social value they contribute, how to balance internal and external focus, how to keep employees, and how to make algorithms fair.

The voyage of transition insider redefines roles and provides chances for people, widening the range of participation and enabling engagement on the composition of full solutions or micro-transitions. Even though employees don't make a specific device anymore, skills like curating, sourcing insight, and establishing coalitions are still quite important. In addition, working together is the most important way to solve problems in society. Transition necessitates the establishment of confidence among systems and users, alongside the development of trust within communities. This may even involve co-creation for organisations that implement extensive public interventions, thereby transforming the catalyst from data, scientific literacy, and learning to community participation.[1]

Changing Paradigms: From Hardware to Human Interfaces

The current debate on Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) underscores the necessity to transition from a conventional hardware-centric framework to more compassionate human-computer interfaces that prioritise individual psychological and social requirements. These kinds of interfaces make it so that technology has to be designed in a way that encourages and supports natural creative thinking and methodical activity that helps people solve problems and find new ideas.[2]

The idea of "humane interfaces" isn't widely acknowledged yet, but it means interfaces that help people think creatively and systems that help people. It fits with a tendency that is rising to inspire people instead of just giving them knowledge. This movement is growing to include people in larger areas, such as nations, cities, and countries. Externalising thoughts is a great way to write down creative ideas and work with potential users to make them better. The main focus changes from designing things to higher levels of the value chain, which include design input, reasoning, and better alignment throughout the mental landscape of society. At the HCI approach itself, the focus also shifts from the design of physical objects to the creation of design support systems and collaborative design environments. This is still a widely misunderstood and neglected area, so it is seen as both a milestone already reached and a roadmap yet to be travelled.[3]

Data as a Compass: Finding Your Way with Purpose

Transitions, marked by milestones, show us how to change technology in a way that is welcome, responsible, and long-lasting. The Data as Compass concept shows us how to navigate the digital world with a purpose. There are many resources for reflection, such as lab notebooks, post-mortems, monitoring logs, wireframes, dashboards, design deliverables, source codes, and even ideas that sparked generative discussions. Mechanisms for retroactive and prospective oversight—linked to ethical, governance, and purpose dimensions—further improve clarity and responsibility, encouraging exploration and renewing inspiration.

Milestones on the Horizon

Big changes have happened because of how quickly technology is changing. Several milestones point to ways to improve collaboration and openness. The digital computer advancements of the late 1940s led to the development of foundational innovations that made it possible to build scalable ecosystems with component-based designs and standards for exchanging information [4]. By the middle of the 1960s, the Tapestry of Collaboration had grown into a huge network of people who could work together. Networks of partnerships, ecosystems, and collaborations moved from working with both the public and commercial sectors to bringing more sectors together. This happened when individual players found ways to participate, co-design, and contribute in their own communities. The ability to quickly make prototypes, make things available to everyone, and get ideas from a lot of people made canvassing by the community even more popular. Finally, a Responsible Leap came about in the late 1990s when the Internet became widely available to the public. This made people think about how to govern, how to hold people accountable, how to get permission, how to make rules that are clear, and how to reduce risks.

First Light: Basic New Ideas

Key innovations in the 1960s and 1970s started the expansion of information technology, connecting people to unique networks, devices, and services. Researchers started writing down groundbreaking changes that would revolutionise modern living at this time. Computers, sensors, and telecommunications all got a lot better. In 1966, computer scientist J.C.R. Licklider outlined a vision for "intergalactic" computer communication predicated on human need for "the formation, storage, and use of knowledge, and the communication of knowledge from one individual to another".[4] This idea was made even better by more progress that made it possible for faraway computers to connect in complicated ways. This led to today's interconnected, multinational cyberspace. After then, microprocessors made it possible to make single-user computers all over the world, which greatly increased the number of people who could join networks. Finally, commercial standards for packet switching, which is the basic idea behind the data undertone that makes up today's Internet, came out. This marked the beginning of the first large interoperable digital network architecture.

The Tapestry of Collaboration: Ecosystems and Partnerships

To get the most out of big technological ecosystems, systems, processes, and governance frameworks must all be built together. Historical narratives underscore the pivotal function of extensive partnerships—public-private coalitions, cross-sector collaborations, and community-driven networks—in facilitating technology transitions. The beginning of the Digital Age shows that long-term partnerships are key to building the new tapestry of humane technological ecosystems.

Technological development is not only the result of research, infrastructure, or investment. It also needs people with skills and new ideas to work together in many areas. An emphasis on technology partnerships and various ecosystems marks a shift away from individual solutions or "silver bullets" and encourages the development of collaborative arrangements, layered methods, and co-evolution across multiple transitions and milestones. The idea of a tapestry includes methods that are specific to certain types of infrastructure and technology, even while the basic ideas work for all systems that are built for high-bandwidth networks, computer-based omnipresence, or machine-to-machine interactions.

Ethics and Safeguards: A Responsible Step

Incentives and situations that are based on the ground change how people and groups make decisions. There are still big problems with systems of governance, accountability, consent, and risk management that need to be solved. There are also still problems with making human rights and broader subjectivity more democratic and inclusive, as well as coming up with new ways to encourage creative, critical, and deliberative discourse on systems and projects—and even to do those things on a large scale. It takes time and thought to decide whether or not to use technology for good, and the same goes for the rules that decide whether or not it is suitable and legal. The ongoing development of systems for interactive, negotiated co-design and co-governance, which help define developmental paths, goals, and limits, creates new opportunities for well-informed, group decision-making at many levels. For transitions to stay noticeable, instruments that measure progress and alternatives must also play a significant role

in the future; otherwise, independence from stagnant or harmful situations may be compromised.

Opportunities in the Wake

There are many changes in the wake of technologies that are based on progressive transitions. Four of these opportunities stand out: new platforms, mainstream infrastructure, and designs that put people first. Giving those on the other side access to technology and teaching them how to use it will help them and make them feel better. Recognising that choosing, designing, and using technology all affect carbon footprints, unsustainable practices and poor management are forms of neglect. Making thorough, thoughtful, and cautious decisions about how to use technology helps both operational and strategic resilience. Finally, in addition to any safety and foresight research, a collection of prototypes, roadmaps, pilots, and funding models that focus on use cases is necessary to guide investment towards getting the most benefits and the least risks throughout the early stages of technology discovery and scoping.

The goal of Inclusive Access and Digital Literacy is to change the basic conditions that make it possible for people to use the Internet and new information and communication technology effectively. Governments exchange ideas, solutions, and best practices with the business sector and civil society by acknowledging and resolving particular local challenges, restrictions, and requirements within their territories. The decrease of different types of digital divide is how progress is measured. It includes the needs, programmes, and resources for digital literacy in the whole community, particularly through education. The education system, in particular, needs support and framing at all levels of government.

Digital Literacy and Access for All

Digital landscapes are always changing and can be scary, but they always offer chances to learn. New digital technologies are being developed all the time. Each new collection of technologies could be a new way to get involved. People who used to use popular technologies may be at risk of being left out if the abilities and experiences that made them successful in the past are no longer enough to meet the standards established by newer technology. In postsecondary education, where schools offer programmes and services that include both the familiar and the new, the problem is even worse.

Digital technologies promise to get people more involved and speed up learning on many different platforms. However, prior interaction with these technologies does not provide the digital competencies necessary to fully capitalise on those opportunities.[5] Too much information can lead to information overload. People are more likely to ignore security and privacy issues when they are willing to try new things and explore. People also expect to have basic abilities like typing, file management, and word processing. Moving from face-to-face to digital settings typically comes with steep learning curves, and the fact that fully online choices are so far away might make it hard to upload and exchange content.[6]

Sustainable Tech: Making Efficiency a Policy

Sustainable technologies are socially built, modelled, and modified, which has a direct effect on how quickly things move forward. To work towards sustainability, we need to better understand (a) the governance processes that affect disruptive innovations; (b) the political, cultural, behavioural, and institutional factors and arrangements that surround technologies; and (c) different ways to include collective goals and the desire to reduce environmental impacts into system dynamics modelling. Actors must work towards a dual transition that brings climate change and waste avoidance into the mainstream of circularity. This requires methods that integrate technical and social-institutional factors to make systemic changes to how we produce and consume things.[7]

Technologies that enhance efficiency hold significant promise for sustainable development. Most new ideas that try to improve social and economic results throughout the course of a person's life don't take sustainability into account while they are being planned in the market and public policy phases. There are three parts to the standard concept of sustainability: economic, environmental, and social. Technological strategy that aims to achieve many socio-economic goals contributes to sustainability at regional, national, and global levels; however, reductions in physical resources and energy consumption continue to decrease the ecological footprint at a macro scale, approaching planetary boundaries.

Security, privacy, and trust in systems that are strong

The systems method to problem-solving, which Ludwig von Bertalanffy first proposed in the 1950s, has become more popular in recent decades. This is due to advances in theory, more computers being available, and more people recognising the value of system-based analysis in many sectors. Fields like natural and social sciences, engineering, management, and economics started to use systems frameworks and viewpoints. They looked at ideas like system systems, emergent features, phases and patterns of change, adaptability, fractals, and chaos. The systems movement grew into the conceptual toolkit we today call systems theory, systems science, and systemic thinking. This toolkit covers a wide range of topics, terms, and areas of study, and it focuses on how elements of a whole are connected to each other.[8] Social, technical, and

ecological systems that are becoming more complicated and interconnected, such as infrastructure, cities, and the built environment, are creating more and more wicked challenges. Leadership for systematic research of interrelated techno-sociospheres on regional and urban scales, as well as for modelling their impact on quality of life, human behaviour, and environmental change, represents a substantial opportunity.

Sustainable Tech: Making Efficiency a Policy

Sustainable technologies are socially built, modelled, and modified, which has a direct effect on how quickly things move forward. To work towards sustainability, we need to better understand (a) the governance processes that affect disruptive innovations; (b) the political, cultural, behavioural, and institutional factors and arrangements that surround technologies; and (c) different ways to include collective goals and the desire to reduce environmental impacts into system dynamics modelling. Actors must work towards a dual transition that brings climate change and waste avoidance into the mainstream of circularity. This requires methods that integrate technical and social-institutional factors to make systemic changes to how we produce and consume things.[7]

Technologies that enhance efficiency hold significant promise for sustainable development. Most new ideas that try to improve social and economic results throughout the course of a person's life don't take sustainability into account while they are being planned in the market and public policy phases. There are three parts to the standard concept of sustainability: economic, environmental, and social. Technological strategy that aims to achieve many socio-economic goals contributes to sustainability at regional, national, and global levels; however, reductions in physical resources and energy consumption continue to decrease the ecological footprint at a macro scale, approaching planetary boundaries.

Security, privacy, and trust in systems that are strong

The systems method to problem-solving, which Ludwig von Bertalanffy first proposed in the 1950s, has become more popular in recent decades. This is due to advances in theory, more computers being available, and more people recognising the value of system-based analysis in many sectors. Fields like natural and social sciences, engineering, management, and economics started to use systems frameworks and viewpoints. They looked at ideas like system systems, emergent features, phases and patterns of change, adaptability, fractals, and chaos. The systems movement grew into the conceptual toolkit we today call systems theory, systems science, and systemic thinking. This toolkit covers a wide range of topics, terms, and areas of study, and it focuses on how elements of a whole are connected to each other [8]. Social, technical, and ecological systems that are becoming more complicated and interconnected, such as infrastructure, cities, and the built environment, are creating more and more wicked challenges. Leadership for systematic research of interrelated techno-sociospheres on regional and urban scales, as well as for modelling their impact on quality of life, human behaviour, and environmental change, represents a substantial opportunity.

The active story takes place over six arcs that follow each other in order. Each arc plays a part in a larger whole. There are three parts to the transition to digital systems: a traditional explanation of thoughtful, humane technology; core elements like Data as Compass, People-First, and Safe Transitions; and a three-layer view of the transition, which includes infrastructure providing basic capabilities, platforms enabling new services, and devices connecting us to the real world. The first four milestone Map-Posters look out to the horizon: First Light, The Tapestry of Collaboration, Safeguards and Ethics, and Opportunities In The Wake, which covers access and literacy for all, sustainable technology, resilience in systems, and From Vision to Action—roadmaps to help put ideas into action. Each section is a point of emphasis in a wide area that is getting wider, deeper, and more detailed.

References

1. S. S. M. Chong, "Strategic policy approaches to technological development for sustainability: the role of consensus building and experimentation," 2000.
2. M. Rauterberg, "HCI as an Engineering Discipline: To Be or Not to Be!?" 2006.
3. G. Andre Boy, "Aerospace Human System Integration Evolution over the Last 40 Years," 2022.
4. M. Grande, L. Guo, M. Blanc, A. Makaya et al., "Planetary Exploration Horizon 2061 Report Chapter 5: Enabling Technologies for Planetary Exploration," 2023.
5. S. Watling, "Digital Landscapes: Inclusive Potential Versus Exclusive Practice," 2012.
6. N. Orta, "Becoming College and Career Ready: Combating The New Digital Divide – A Literature Review," 2019.
7. N. A. Ashford/MIT, "Disrupting Innovation Driven by Governmental Regulatory Targeting, Not Slow Guided Incremental Innovation Involving Incumbents is What is Needed to Transform the Industrial State," 2016.
8. R. M. Murray, J. C. Day, M. D. Ingham, L. J. Reder et al., "Engineering Resilient Space Systems," 2013.
9. The Technology Mission in Farming. Accessed from https://forumias.com/blog on 25/11/25
10. Missions for technology on March 11, 2025. On 25/11/25, I got to this page at https://fortuneiascircle.com/on.